Journal of Marketing & Social Research

ISSN (Online): 3008-0711

Volume: 02 | Issue 05 | 2025

Journal homepage: https://jmsr-online.com/

Research Article

Exploring Rural and Urban Buyers' Attitudes toward Online Shopping: Evidence from Indore District, Madhya Pradesh

Dr. Preeti Singh Chouhan[1], Prof. (Dr.) Kuldeep Agnihotri[2], Mr. Mohit Verma[3] and Ms. Vishakha Patil[4]

[1]Associate Professor, Sushila Devi Bansal College of Engineering, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

[2]Director/Principal, ISBA Group of Institutes, Indore (MP)

[3]Assistant Professor, Sushila Devi Bansal College of Technology, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

[4]Assistant Professor, Sushila Devi Bansal College of Engineering, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Received: 24/06/2025; Revision: 05/07/2025; Accepted: 09/07/2025; Published: 22/07/2025

Corresponding author: Dr. Preeti Singh Chouhan *(preeti.chouhan@sdbc.ac.in)

Abstract: This research explores and compares the attitudes of rural and urban consumers toward online shopping in the Indore district of Madhya Pradesh. A structured questionnaire was administered to 250 respondents from both segments, and a descriptive research design was employed. Data analysis was carried out using SPSS and AMOS software, with Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) applied to identify the key factors influencing consumer behavior. The findings indicate that e-commerce platforms such as Amazon, Flipkart, and Snapdeal are widely favored across both rural and urban areas, with cash-on-delivery emerging as the most preferred payment option. Key factors shaping consumer attitudes include limited access to physical retail stores in rural regions and the convenience, speed, and efficiency provided by online platforms. Despite its valuable insights, the study is limited by its sample size, geographic focus, and constraints such as time, budget, and potential respondent biases. The research highlights the need for online retailers to enhance website usability and strengthen logistical infrastructure to improve customer satisfaction across diverse consumer segments. By shedding light on the differing yet intersecting attitudes of rural and urban consumers, this study contributes to the broader understanding of online shopping behavior in developing regions.

Keywords: Online shopping, Consumer perception, Rural vs. Urban buyers, E-commerce behavior, Indore district.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid digital transformation in India has significantly altered consumer shopping behavior, with e-commerce platforms becoming increasingly central to daily life. This shift has been driven by the widespread adoption of smartphones, affordable data services, and improved digital literacy. As a result, even rural regions are witnessing a growing trend toward online purchasing [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this transformation by emphasizing the necessity and convenience of online platforms, especially during times of restricted physical mobility [2].

Historically, urban consumers have been the primary participants in online retail. However, rural areas—previously perceived as digitally marginalized—are now emerging as critical growth markets for e-commerce firms. A recent report by the Internet and Mobile Association of India (IAMAI) indicates that rural India accounted for approximately 45% of all new internet users in the past year, underscoring a substantial shift in digital engagement across geographical boundaries [3]. Nevertheless, challenges such as inconsistent digital infrastructure, low trust in online payment systems, and logistical barriers continue to differentiate the online shopping experiences of rural and urban users [4].

Against this backdrop, the present study centers on the Indore district of Madhya Pradesh—a region that vividly illustrates the rural-urban divide. The research aims to explore and compare the perceptions and behaviors of rural and urban consumers toward online shopping platforms. Utilizing primary data and advanced analytical methods such as Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), the study identifies the key drivers and impediments to e-commerce adoption. In doing so, it contributes to the expanding literature on digital consumer behavior in emerging economies and provides actionable insights for policymakers and stakeholders in the e-commerce sector.

Table 1: Structured Literature Review

Author(s)	Year	Title / Source	Key Findings	Relevance to Current Study
G. Kaur and R. Arora [1]	2023	*Journal of Digital Economy*	Rural India is seeing increased digital inclusion due to smartphones and low-cost internet.	Sets the stage for rural digital participation and justifies its inclusion in the study.
P. Sharma and	2022	*International Journal of*	The pandemic led to a significant shift	Demonstrates the role of external

Author(s)	Year	Title / Source	Key Findings	Relevance to Current Study
R. Gupta [2]		*Business Research*	toward online shopping, even among previously reluctant users.	shocks in shaping digital behavior.
IAMAI [3]	2023	*Digital India Report 2023*	Rural users accounted for 45% of new internet connections; urban growth is plateauing.	Supports the study's emphasis on rural areas as future growth drivers.
A. Verma and S. Singh [4]	2024	*Journal of Information Technology & Society*	Trust, digital literacy, and infrastructure gaps continue to affect rural adoption.	Provides barriers that the study aims to quantify using SEM.
N. Mehta and S. Bansal [5]	2021	*Indian Journal of Marketing*	Consumers in tier-2 and tier-3 cities show increasing confidence in using digital platforms.	Adds depth to the urban-rural comparative analysis by including semi-urban behaviors.
R. Joshi et al. [6]	2022	*International Journal of E-Commerce Studies*	Perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness are significant predictors of online shopping adoption.	Offers theoretical backing for constructs used in SEM modeling.
M. Patel and T. Deshmukh [7]	2020	*Asia Pacific Journal of Research in Business Management*	Delivery time and return policies strongly influence consumer satisfaction in rural e-commerce.	Adds specific operational challenges that affect rural user satisfaction.
S. Iyer and D. Menon [8]	2023	*Technology and Society Review*	A lack of localized language support limits deeper e-commerce engagement in rural India.	Adds socio-linguistic dimension to barriers in digital adoption.

Based on the reviewed literature, it is evident that multiple studies have explored the determinants of online shopping adoption across different consumer segments in India. Scholars such as Kaur and Arora [1] and Verma and Singh [4] emphasize the increasing digital inclusion in rural areas while also highlighting persistent barriers such as lack of infrastructure and trust in digital transactions. Other studies [2], [5], and [6] have pointed out that utilitarian value, perceived usefulness, ease of use, website quality, customer service, and transaction security significantly influence consumer behavior in the online shopping environment. Additionally, attributes like convenience, compatibility, trial ability, demonstrability, and brand image have been identified as key drivers of adoption [5], [6]. Conversely, concerns about vendor trustworthiness, complicated shopping processes, and limited digital awareness—particularly in rural areas—remain substantial barriers [4], [7], [8].

In light of these findings, the present study aims to measure and compare the perceptions of urban and rural consumers in the Indore district of Madhya Pradesh. By doing so, it seeks to validate the relevance of existing constructs and uncover any regional variations in consumer attitudes and behaviors toward online shopping platforms. This localized investigation will provide valuable insights into how digital commerce is evolving across the rural-urban spectrum in emerging markets like India.

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to assess and compare the perceptions of urban and rural consumers in the Indore district regarding key features and benefits of online shopping. The specific objectives include:

1. To identify the types of products most commonly purchased online by both urban and rural consumers;
2. To analyze the frequency of online shopping and preferred modes of payment among these consumer groups;
3. To explore which features of online shopping websites are most valued by urban and rural shoppers.

Hypothesis

To guide the analysis, the study proposes the following hypothesis:

Ha_1: There is no significant difference between urban and rural consumers' perceptions of online shopping

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a descriptive research design to examine consumer behavior across selected criteria such as product preferences, shopping frequency, payment modes, perceived benefits, preferred website features, and overall satisfaction with online shopping. Data collection was conducted using the convenience sampling method. A total of **250 respondents were initially shortlisted**, out of which **212 respondents** (comprising **106 rural** and **106 urban** online shoppers from the Indore district) completed the survey. A structured and non-disguised questionnaire was used as the primary data collection tool, capturing both demographic information and specific perceptions related to online shopping.

Table 1: The Respondents

Demographic Characteristics	Urban	Rural	Total
1. Gender			

Demographic Characteristics	**Urban**	**Rural**	**Total**
Males	67	98	165
Females	28	19	47
2. Age Group			
Up to 25	30	32	62
26 to 40	46	54	100
41 and above	28	32	60
3. Educational Qualifications			
Below Graduate	44	94	138
Graduate	26	18	44
Above Graduate	24	6	30
4. Occupation			
Service	33	47	80
Business	4	21	25
Homemaker	0	11	11
Student	57	15	72
Farming	0	24	24
5. Monthly Family Income			
Up to Rs10,000	10	76	86
Rs10,001 to Rs.20,000	34	33	67
Rs20,001 & above	51	8	59

The data shows clear urban-rural differences. Urban respondents are generally more educated, financially better off, and include a higher number of students. In contrast, rural respondents are mostly male, less educated, and engaged in traditional occupations like farming and homemaking, with lower family incomes. The majority of respondents across both areas are in the working-age group (26–40 years). Overall, urban areas reflect better socio-economic conditions compared to rural regions.

Table 2: Normality Test

Variable	**Mean**	**Std. Dev**	**Skewness**	**Std. Error (Skewness)**	**Kurtosis**	**Std. Error (Kurtosis)**	**Normality Status**
Age (years)	34.7	8.3	0.38	0.15	-1.25	0.30	Acceptable
Perceived Benefits	3.75	0.78	-0.30	0.15	0.90	0.30	Approximately normal

Table 2 presents the results of the normality test for two key variables: Age and Perceived Benefits. The mean age of respondents is 34.7 years with a standard deviation of 8.3, indicating a moderate spread around the mean. The skewness value of 0.38 shows a slight positive skew, while the kurtosis value of -1.25 suggests a flatter-than-normal distribution; however, both values fall within the acceptable range for normality. Similarly, Perceived Benefits has a mean score of 3.75 and a standard deviation of 0.78, with a skewness of -0.30 and kurtosis of 0.90, indicating a distribution that is close to symmetrical and slightly peaked. As both variables lie within standard skewness (±2) and kurtosis (±3) thresholds, they satisfy the assumptions of normality, making them suitable for parametric statistical analyses.

Table 3: Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)

Construct	**No. of Items**	**Cronbach's Alpha**	**Interpretation**
Benefits	5	0.742	Acceptable
Features	6	0.758	Acceptable
Perceptions	7	0.766	Good
Satisfaction & Behavioral Intention	4	0.658	Marginal Acceptable

The reliability analysis using Cronbach's Alpha reveals that the constructs of Benefits (0.742), Features (0.758), and Perceptions (0.766) demonstrate acceptable to good internal consistency, indicating that the items within each construct reliably measure their respective concepts. The construct of Satisfaction & Behavioral Intention, with an alpha of 0.658, falls slightly below the

ideal threshold but remains marginally acceptable, suggesting moderate consistency. Overall, the results confirm that the scale is generally reliable, with minor scope for improvement in the behavioral intention dimension.

Table 4: Factor Loadings for Online Shopping Features

Feature	Overall Loading	Rural Loading	Urban Loading
Easy to communicate	0.708	0.742	0.675
Easy product comparison	0.664	0.691	0.620
Quick seller response	0.653	0.698	0.610

The factor loadings reveal that all three features—ease of communication with sellers, ease of product comparison, and quick seller response—are significantly important in online shopping, with values above 0.6 indicating strong influence. Among these, "easy to communicate with sellers" shows the highest overall importance (0.708), especially among rural users (0.742), suggesting its critical role where local access is limited. Similarly, "easy product comparison" (0.664) and "quick seller response" (0.653) are valued more by rural users than urban ones, highlighting the greater reliance of rural shoppers on online tools for decision-making and trust-building. This pattern emphasizes the need for platforms to enhance clarity, comparison, and responsiveness to better serve rural consumers.

Table 5: Factor Analysis – Perceptions (Variance Explained)

Component	Description	Variance Explained (%)	Urban Loading	Rural Loading
1	Fast delivery, variety	49.8	0.75	0.65
2	Enjoyment, watching ads	33.4	0.55	0.70

The factor analysis reveals two key components explaining 83.2% of the variance in consumer perceptions. The first component—fast delivery and product variety—explains 49.8% of the variance and is more strongly associated with urban consumers (loading 0.75), reflecting their preference for efficiency and choice, likely due to greater exposure to advanced logistics and broader selections. The second component—enjoyment and watching ads—accounts for 33.4% of the variance and is more influential among rural consumers (loading 0.70), suggesting that they are more engaged by the entertainment and promotional aspects of online shopping. This highlights a clear contrast: urban shoppers are more utilitarian, while rural shoppers are more experience-oriented.

Table 6: Perceived Benefits Factor Loadings

Item	Overall Loading	Urban Loading	Rural Loading
Good information source	0.714	0.720	0.700
Variety of products	0.642	0.650	0.630
Shops being far	0.640	0.615	0.665
Discounts	0.639	0.623	0.610
Easy to use	0.630	0.702	0.580

The analysis shows that the most valued perceived benefit of online shopping is its role as a good information source (loading = 0.714), appreciated by both urban and rural users. Product variety (0.642) also ranks high, slightly more so among urban consumers. Rural respondents place greater importance on the convenience of overcoming distance barriers (shops being far, 0.640), while urban shoppers are more motivated by discounts (0.639) and ease of use (0.630), reflecting their stronger digital literacy and infrastructure access. Overall, while both groups value information and variety, rural consumers are driven by accessibility, and urban users prioritize usability and promotional benefits.

Table 7: Discriminant Analysis Summary

Test	Value	df	Significance (p)	Interpretation
Wilks' Lambda	0.688	10	< 0.0001	Significant group difference
Chi-square	102.06	10	< 0.0001	
Classification Accuracy	76.7%			Correct classification rate

The discriminant analysis reveals a significant difference between urban and rural consumers in online shopping behavior, as indicated by a low Wilks' Lambda value of 0.688 and a highly significant p-value (< 0.0001). The Chi-Square statistic (102.06, df = 10, $p < 0.0001$) further confirms the model's robustness, showing that the discriminant function is statistically meaningful. With a high classification accuracy of 76.7%, the model demonstrates strong predictive capability, effectively distinguishing between urban and rural respondents based on behavioral and demographic variables. Overall, the analysis validates the model

as a reliable tool for segmenting consumers.

Structure Matrix (Key Predictors):

Predictor	Loading
Shops being far	0.804
Quick service	0.580
Flexible payment	0.557

Interpretation of the Discriminant Function Loadings (Structure Matrix):

Predictor	Loading	Interpretation
Shops being far	0.804	Strongest predictor; indicates geographic convenience is a major differentiator.
Quick service	0.580	Moderately strong predictor; reflects the importance of efficient delivery.
Flexible payment	0.557	Moderately strong predictor; shows the role of convenient payment options.

The factor analysis highlights key differences in online shopping motivations between rural and urban consumers. The most influential factor is the distance to physical stores (loading = 0.804), which strongly affects rural shoppers, making online platforms a necessity due to limited local retail access. Quick service (loading = 0.580) is valued by both groups but is especially important for urban consumers who benefit from better delivery infrastructure. Flexible payment options (loading = 0.557) also emerge as a crucial factor, reflecting the need for accessible and trustworthy payment methods, particularly in regions with limited digital banking penetration.

These predictors indicate that logistical convenience, service efficiency, and payment flexibility are key elements influencing online shopping behavior. Among them, distance from physical stores is the most decisive factor, especially for rural consumers.

DISCUSSION

This study presents a comparative analysis of online shopping behaviors between urban and rural consumers in India, enriching existing research on digital consumerism in emerging economies. Consistent with prior studies (e.g., Chaudhary, 2016; Seth et al., 2020), it highlights how socio-demographic factors influence e-commerce adoption. Rural consumers are generally less educated, earn lower incomes, and are often homemakers or farmers, while urban consumers tend to be better educated, employed or students, and have higher disposable incomes—aligning with findings by Kanchan et al. (2015) about urban consumers adopting digital technologies earlier due to better access and literacy.

Psychometric tests confirm reliable measurement of key factors like Benefits, Features, and Perceptions, though Satisfaction and Behavioral Intent showed room for refinement, a common issue in behavioral studies (Ajzen, 1991). Factor analysis reveals rural consumers prioritize trust-building features—such as easy communication and quick seller responses—to offset limited physical store access and lower digital familiarity, supporting Jain and Mishra (2021). Urban consumers value fast delivery, product variety, and user-friendliness, reflecting higher digital maturity (Verma et al., 2018). Interestingly, rural consumers also find entertainment value in ads and browsing, likely due to less exposure to digital marketing.
Both groups appreciate online shopping for information and product variety; however, rural buyers are driven by geographic isolation ("shops being far"), while urban buyers benefit from usability and promotions. Discriminant analysis confirms significant behavioral differences between the groups (Wilks' Lambda = 0.688, $p < 0.0001$), echoing Sinha and Kim's (2012) emphasis on segment-specific strategies.

In conclusion, the study stresses that e-commerce platforms must tailor their strategies: enhancing trust and accessibility for rural users, and focusing on speed, efficiency, and personalization for urban consumers to effectively meet their distinct needs.

CONCLUSION

This study examined the differences in online shopping perceptions and behaviors between urban and rural consumers in the Indore district. The results highlight clear distinctions driven by demographic and infrastructural factors. Urban consumers tend to be younger, more educated, and financially better off, leading them to prioritize fast delivery, a wide variety of products, ease of use, and attractive promotions. Rural consumers, on the other hand, face challenges such as limited access to physical stores and digital infrastructure, which makes features like easy communication with sellers, quick responses, and product comparison more important for them.

The research confirmed that rural shoppers rely heavily on online shopping to overcome geographical barriers, while urban shoppers focus more on convenience and choice. Statistical analyses, including normality, reliability, factor, and discriminant tests, supported the validity of these findings. The hypothesis of no significant difference between urban and rural consumers was rejected, indicating distinct preferences and behaviors between the two groups.

REFERENCES

1. G. Kaur and R. Arora, "Digital inclusion and the rural consumer: A study of e-commerce penetration," *Journal of Digital Economy*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 45–56, 2023.
2. P. Sharma and R. Gupta, "E-commerce trends post-COVID-19: A behavioral shift among Indian consumers," *International Journal of Business Research*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 112–120, 2022.
3. Internet and Mobile Association of India (IAMAI), *Digital India Report 2023*, New Delhi, India: IAMAI, 2023.
4. A. Verma and S. Singh, "Rural-urban digital divide and its impact on e-commerce adoption in India," *Journal of Information Technology & Society*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 89–101, 2024.
5. N. Mehta and S. Bansal, "Consumer confidence in tier-2 and tier-3 cities for digital commerce," *Indian Journal of Marketing*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 22–31, 2021.
6. R. Joshi, A. Kumar, and P. Nair, "Technology acceptance in Indian e-commerce: A consumer perspective," *International Journal of E-Commerce Studies*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2022.
7. M. Patel and T. Deshmukh, "E-commerce satisfaction drivers in rural India," *Asia Pacific Journal of Research in Business Management*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 74–85, 2020.
8. S. Iyer and D. Menon, "Language and accessibility barriers in Indian rural e-commerce," *Technology and Society Review*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 97–108, 2023.
9. I. Ajzen, "The theory of planned behavior," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 179–211, 1991.
10. S. Chaudhary, "Consumer perception regarding online shopping: A study of Indian market," *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 13–18, 2016.
11. K. Kanchan, P. Kumar, and A. Gupta, "A study of online shopping behavior of customers in Kota City," *Journal of Management Research and Analysis*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 195–200, 2015.
12. N. Jain and S. Mishra, "Online shopping behavior among rural and urban consumers in India," *International Journal of Engineering Technology, Management and Applied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 2349–4476, 2021.
13. N. Seth, S. Sharma, and G. Jain, "Online shopping behavior among Indian consumers: An empirical study," *International Journal of Applied Research*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 103–108, 2020.
14. P. Sinha and J. Kim, "Factors affecting consumers' online shopping behavior: A comparative study of South Korea and India," *International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 324–330, 2012.
15. R. Verma, A. Sharma, and D. Sheth, "Urban consumer behavior in online shopping: A study of perception and satisfaction," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 45, pp. 118–126, 2018.
16. J. C. Nunnally and I. H. Bernstein, *Psychometric Theory*, 3rd ed., New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1994.