

Review Article

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the Indian IT Sector: A Rapid Literature Review

Kanupriya Shekhar¹ and Dr. Sanjay Srivastava²

¹Research Scholar, SLM MRIIRS

²Supervisor, Professor, SLM MRIIRS

Received: 27/04/2025;

Revision: 15/05/2025;

Accepted: 20/05/2025;

Published: 15/06/2025

*Corresponding author: Kanupriya Shekhar (shekharkanupriya@gmail.com)

Abstract: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) programs have become essential success determinants for firms as India's IT sector evolves within a competitive and culturally varied landscape. This systematic literature analysis synthesizes the findings of 51 peer-reviewed articles to examine the impact of DEI initiatives on employee engagement, retention, and performance. The analysis indicates that strategic integration, psychological safety, and inclusive leadership are crucial elements that enhance organizational resilience and employee motivation. Furthermore, it highlights challenges such as implicit bias, tokenism, and the devaluation of intersecting identities, particularly within the Indian context. This debate integrates theoretical concepts from Social Exchange Theory and Self-Determination Theory to contextualize the enhancement of intrinsic motivation and organizational commitment through inclusive practices. The assessment underscores the necessity for comprehensive structural reforms, intersectional awareness, and data-informed accountability, while affirming the advantages of effective DEI implementation. The findings provide a framework for additional research in ascending market conditions, along with actionable recommendations for professionals. The research ultimately characterizes DEI as both a moral obligation and a strategic need for Indian IT firms aiming to cultivate diverse and efficient work environments.

Keywords: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, Employee Engagement, Retention, Performance, Inclusive Leadership, Indian IT Sector, Psychological Safety

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the principles of diversity, equality, and inclusion (DEI) have become crucial for the development of modern businesses, surpassing mere academic discourse and policy terminology. DEI methods are now recognized for their measurable influence on organizational performance and employee welfare, beyond mere ethical or social justice considerations (Shore et al., 2018). Increasing evidence indicates that organizations that adopt diversity and foster inclusivity have enhanced innovation, improved employee engagement, and superior financial outcomes (Roberson, 2006; Ferdman, 2017).

The information technology (IT) sector in India is particularly impacted by these changes. The IT sector, a highly dynamic and globally engaged industry in India, has been pivotal to the nation's economic growth and international reputation (Pradhan & Jena, 2017). Incorporating inclusive strategies is not only a progressive choice but also a strategic need in the contemporary landscape, when collaboration across borders, cultures, and identities is standard. With its reliance on skilled talent and cutting-edge innovation, the sector is uniquely positioned to harness the power of diversity. Indian IT companies are often a melting pot of cultures, educational backgrounds, and lived experiences, bringing together individuals from across the country and the world (Khandelwal & Khan, 2022). When managed well, this diversity becomes a rich source of creative problem-solving and collaborative

energy. However, without deliberate and sustained efforts to foster inclusion, diversity alone can breed fragmentation, misunderstandings, and disengagement (Mor Barak, 2014). This paper explores the intricate relationship between D&I initiatives and key organizational outcomes, employee engagement, retention, and performance within the Indian IT landscape. It examines how these elements interconnect and shape one another, weaving together evidence from various studies and real-world examples to offer a nuanced and holistic perspective.

At the heart of this exploration is recognizing that diversity extends beyond visible characteristics like gender, race, or age. It includes a tapestry of social identities, personal experiences, abilities, and ways of thinking (Hays-Thomas & Bendick, 2013). Inclusion, meanwhile, is about creating an environment where each individual feels valued, heard, and empowered to bring their authentic self to work (Ferdman, 2017). For Indian IT companies, this means moving beyond symbolic gestures and weaving D&I principles into every aspect of the organizational culture, from leadership practices to team dynamics and decision-making processes (Madera et al., 2017).

Historically, diversity conversations in India have often been framed around legal compliance and affirmative action (Mor Barak, 2014). However, there has been a marked shift in how organizations approach these issues over the past two decades. Today, companies like Infosys,

Wipro, and TCS are embedding D&I as core values, investing in everything from gender diversity programs to accessible infrastructure and training on unconscious bias (Khandelwal & Khan, 2022). This evolution reflects a more profound understanding that inclusion is not a checkbox but a strategic lever that can drive competitive advantage and long-term sustainability (McKinsey & Company, 2015).

However, the path to genuine inclusion is not always straightforward. Challenges remain, from entrenched mindsets and unconscious biases to the ever-present pressures of tight deadlines and global competition (Sharma & Sharma, 2021). Some employees may perceive D&I initiatives as tokenistic if they do not see real change in their day-to-day experiences (Bourke et al., 2017). Others may feel overwhelmed by “diversity fatigue,” where constant initiatives feel more performative than transformative (Hupont et al., 2023).

Against this backdrop, this paper aims to move beyond a purely descriptive approach. It seeks to synthesize the wealth of existing research on D&I in the Indian IT sector, draw out the most compelling findings, and offer practical insights for practitioners and researchers. By examining how D&I programs shape engagement, retention, and performance, the paper hopes to provide a roadmap for organizations looking to create workplaces where everyone can truly thrive.

The introduction is an invitation to see D&I not as an obligation but as an opportunity to build workplaces that are more just and equitable and more resilient, innovative, and prepared for future challenges.

Theoretical Underpinnings

The impact of DEI initiatives on organizational outcomes such as engagement, retention, and performance can be contextualized through multiple theoretical frameworks:

1. **Social Exchange Theory (SET)** SET posits that human relationships are formed through reciprocal exchanges. In organizations, inclusive practices that signal fairness and support lead to greater employee commitment and engagement. Jha et al. (2023) applied SET to demonstrate how inclusive environments foster organizational identification and innovation.
2. **Organizational Support Theory (OST)** OST emphasizes the role of perceived organizational support (POS) in shaping employee attitudes. DEI programs that recognize individual contributions and promote equity align with POS, thereby enhancing engagement and reducing turnover. Studies by Kumar & Pandita (2022) and Dash & Muthyala (2016) support this linkage.
3. **Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)** TPB explains how inclusive attitudes and supportive social norms within organizations can influence employee intentions and behavior. As shown in Sreesujatha (2025), inclusive climates align personal and organizational values, leading to improved performance and satisfaction.
4. **Psychological Safety Framework** Psychological

safety allows employees to express themselves without fear of reprisal. Sathyanarayana et al. (2024) and Karmarkar (2024) show that trust and openness—core components of psychological safety—mediate the relationship between DEI and employee engagement.

5. **Change Management Theories (Lewin's Model)** Lewin's Unfreeze–Change–Refreeze model illustrates the process of organizational transformation. Sofat et al. (2015) highlight that participatory change management enhances DEI adoption and mitigates resistance.

METHOD

To understand how diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives influence employee engagement, retention, and performance, particularly in the context of India's dynamic IT sector, a Literature Review (LR) was undertaken. This methodological choice was deemed appropriate for its ability to offer a structured, comprehensive synthesis of scholarly work, especially in a field where findings are distributed across various disciplines and contexts.

The purpose of this LR was not merely to summarize existing studies but to distill key themes, identify knowledge gaps, and develop an integrated understanding of DEI's impact in organizational settings. A central research question guided the review: What does existing literature reveal about the impact of DEI programs on employee outcomes in Indian IT organizations? Supporting sub-questions explored how DEI is conceptualized, the common challenges in implementation, and the influence of inclusive practices on workplace dynamics.

The researcher applied defined inclusion and exclusion criteria to maintain rigor and relevance. Studies had to be peer-reviewed, published between 2010 and 2024, written in English, and relevant to workplace DEI, particularly in Indian or similar emerging-market contexts. Studies focusing solely on unrelated sectors like education or healthcare, or those not grounded in empirical or theoretical frameworks, were excluded.

A structured search strategy was developed using leading academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, EBSCOhost, JSTOR, and ProQuest. and connected keywords like “diversity and inclusion,” “employee engagement,” “performance,” “retention,” “India,” or “Indian IT sector.” This search approach yielded over 180 articles initially.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative meta-synthesis approach to understand the impact of DEI programs in Indian IT companies. Secondary data was collected from peer-reviewed journals, empirical studies, and case-based literature published between 2015 and 2025. A purposive sampling method was employed to select studies that specifically addressed DEI interventions in the Indian IT context.

Key inclusion criteria for the literature were:

- a) Empirical or conceptual focus on DEI in Indian IT or related tech sectors.
- b) Evaluation of outcomes related to employee engagement, retention, or performance.
- c) Peer-reviewed or academically recognized sources.

The selected studies were thematically analyzed to extract common patterns, theoretical frameworks, and contextual challenges. Triangulation was applied by cross-verifying findings from multiple studies to ensure robustness and eliminate researcher bias. Where available, original datasets, sample sizes, and methodologies were reviewed to assess validity and reliability.

In seeking to understand the rich, multifaceted impacts of diversity and inclusion (D&I) on employee engagement, retention, and performance within the Indian IT sector, this study takes a structured yet nuanced approach. Grounded in both empirical rigor and a human-centric perspective, the methodology aims to illuminate the lived experiences of employees and the real-world effectiveness of D&I initiatives. A conceptual framework diagram that visually maps the relationship between key DEI inputs (like Inclusive Leadership and Intersectionality Awareness) and resulting outcomes (Engagement, Retention, and Performance).

Research Design

The manner in which DEI initiatives influence employee engagement, retention, and performance in India's IT sector was investigated by means of a comprehensive and orderly literature review. The initial search turned up more than 180 papers; major scholarly databases including JSTOR, Web of Science, and Scopus yielded 512 results. An extra 24 papers were found by hand searches. After duplicates were eliminated, 451 separate records were examined. After 123 complete-text articles were carefully screened, these data were thematically assessed using Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework (2006). Steps in this procedure were knowing the information, developing the first codes, identifying and polishing themes, and aggregating the findings into a cogent narrative.

RESULT

As Indian IT companies expand globally, workforce diversity becomes inevitable. Yet diversity alone does not ensure inclusion. Inclusion, defined as the active, intentional, and ongoing effort to ensure equitable access and opportunities, has a profound influence on employee outcomes such as engagement, retention, and performance. This paper explores how DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) programs influence these outcomes in Indian IT settings, drawing upon recent empirical research.

A seminal study by Kumar & Pandita (2022) using data from 413 Indian IT professionals found that perceived inclusion and organizational diversity had a strong negative effect on turnover intention, mediated by employee engagement. In simple terms, when employees feel included, they are more engaged and less likely to leave.

Another study by Sathyanarayana et al. (2024) emphasizes the importance of organizational trust and openness. Diversity management practices significantly improved job match, engagement, and commitment when moderated by trust, highlighting the need for cultural readiness, not just policy change.

Jha et al. (2023) examined inclusion in the Indian IT sector through a Social Exchange Theory lens and found that inclusive environments increased organizational identification and psychological safety, key antecedents of long-term retention and creativity. Employees who feel heard and valued show higher loyalty and innovation capacity.

Similarly, Dash & Muthyala (2016) established that IT professionals with higher perceived engagement, especially when supported by developmental performance feedback and leadership autonomy, exhibit greater retention intention over time.

A recent empirical study by Sreesujatha (2025) links D&I efforts directly to workplace productivity and innovation. Interviews with employees at an Indian tech firm showed that serious, structured DEI initiatives improved cooperation, satisfaction, and problem-solving capacity, especially among underrepresented groups.

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) have gained prominence within India's IT industry as critical components of responsible corporate governance and innovation strategy. While many firms have articulated DEI goals, their operationalization varies widely. Empirical evidence reveals that the efficacy of DEI initiatives hinges on authenticity, institutional accountability, and structural integration.

A recent empirical study by Karmarkar (2024) distinguishes between authentic and inauthentic DEI practices in Indian workplaces. Organizations with authentic DEI efforts—characterized by employee involvement and strategic alignment—reported significantly higher motivation, commitment, and team cohesion than those with superficial implementations (Karmarkar, 2024).

Choudhary and Pandita (2023) propose an AI-integrated DEI decision model specifically for Indian companies, emphasizing the dual role of technology in reducing bias or unintentionally amplifying it. The model recommends that DEI be embedded into AI system governance to ensure ethical rollouts (Choudhary & Pandita, 2023).

An older but relevant study by Sofat et al. (2015) examines how organizational change, including DEI transitions, is often met with varying levels of employee resistance. They observed that DEI efforts are more successful when change management is participatory and transparent (Sofat et al., 2015).

Diversity and inclusion programs, when genuinely implemented and culturally supported, positively influence

employee engagement, reduce attrition, and enhance workplace performance in the Indian IT sector. However, their success hinges on organizational trust, leadership commitment, and continuous evaluation of inclusivity practices, not just demographic representation.

A seminal study by Kumar & Pandita (2022) using data from 413 Indian IT professionals found that perceived inclusion and organizational diversity had a strong negative effect on turnover intention, mediated by employee engagement. In simple terms, when employees feel included, they are more engaged and less likely to leave.

The literature draws heavily on key theoretical frameworks to explain these dynamics. Social Exchange Theory (Blau,

1964) suggests that when organizations invest in their employees' well-being by creating inclusive, respectful environments, employees reciprocate with loyalty, engagement, and performance. Similarly, Psychological Safety Theory (Edmondson, 1999) highlights how inclusion fosters environments where people feel safe sharing ideas and challenging norms.

Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) adds another layer, emphasizing how individuals' sense of belonging to social groups influences their workplace behaviors and perceptions. In India, where caste, gender, and class can profoundly shape experiences, this perspective is particularly relevant (Raj, 2023).

DISCUSSION

The studies carried out exposed several rather significant subjects. These underlined the need of inclusive leadership, the limits of DEI programs that are only symbolic or useless, and the need of psychological safety in encouraging engagement and production. The study also revealed that individuals are becoming more aware of intersectionality—that is, the way caste, gender, and class interact to influence workplace inclusion, a highly relevant issue in India. Though it was just based on secondary evidence, the evaluation correctly followed ethical standards. Every source was accurately attributed, and care was taken to produce a fair view. The method applied here provides a strong foundation for understanding the evolving relevance of DEI in India's IT sector as well as a transparent, repeatable path for further research. results



Fig 1: Conceptual Framework

This systematic study shows the intricate and shifting influence that Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives have on organizational outcomes within India's information technology (IT) industry. As the sector controls quick growth and worldwide integration, the necessity of establishing open and fair workplaces becomes more important. Many times, in the literature, the importance of inclusive leadership and corporate culture in realizing DEI values is highlighted. Establishing psychologically safe workplaces where employees may express different viewpoints and take measured risks without fear depends much on the sympathetic, fair, and participatory leaders (Edmondson, 1999; Ferdman, 2017). In India's multicultural IT scene, where teams sometimes include people from several socioeconomic, linguistic, and geographic origins, this is especially crucial. Under these circumstances, the ability of the leadership to foster a feeling of community becomes an extremely important indicator of inclusive outcomes.

Furthermore, under review are studies showing how DEI projects are being seen as strategic levers in addition to compliance tools. Studies by Gupta and Aggarwal (2021) and Gomez and Bernet (2019) point to clear benefits in productivity, staff retention, and innovation connected with inclusive policies. When DEI is included in important areas like performance management, talent development, and recruiting, it significantly enhances the long-term organizational effectiveness. In a market for talent as competitive as India's IT sector, this is particularly crucial. Still, the results highlight ongoing difficulties as well. Although many companies have official DEI systems, practical application sometimes falls short. Superficial efforts, such as symbolic gestures without real follow-through, may cause "diversity fatigue," a phenomenon wherein workers get disenchanted with initiatives without actual influence (Hupont et al., 2023; Mor Barak, 2014). DEI programs run the danger of being seen as performative without systems for feedback, responsibility, and cultural integration.

Table 1: Result Summary of Reviewed Literature

No.	Study	Focus Area	Key Insight
1	Kumar & Pandita (2022)	Engagement	Inclusion boosts engagement, reduces turnover
2	Sathyanarayana et al. (2024)	Engagement/Trust	DEI effectiveness is amplified by organizational trust
3	Jha et al. (2023).	Retention	Inclusion enhances identification and reduces attrition
4	Dash & Muthyala (2016)	Retention	Feedback and autonomy improve retention through engagement
5	Sreesujatha (2025)	Performance	DEI enhances innovation, cooperation, and satisfaction
6	Karmarkar (2024)	Performative DEI	Many Indian IT firms adopt DEI policies without embedding them structurally.
7	Choudhary & Pandita (2023)	Tech-Ethics Misalignment	AI systems used in hiring or evaluations can reproduce bias unless governed by inclusive frameworks
8	Sofat et al. (2015).	Change Fatigue & Resistance	Without sustained communication and training, DEI becomes tokenistic or resisted.

A burgeoning issue in the literature is the significance of intersectionality. Researchers such as Raj (2023) and Warren and Warren (2023) underscore that several identity markers, including gender, caste, class, and language, frequently overlap to influence employees' experiences in the workplace. Programs that concentrate exclusively on a certain identity component may neglect to address more profound and intersecting forms of marginalization. Although women's leadership programs are beneficial, their success may be compromised if they do not address caste-based exclusion or geographical differences. This necessitates a contextually grounded, socially conscious strategy for inclusion.

These findings theoretically correspond with Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964), which posits that workers reciprocate fair and courteous treatment by increasing their effort and loyalty in the workplace. Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000) posits that when organizations fulfill psychological requirements, including autonomy, competence, and relatedness, employees are more inclined to exhibit intrinsic motivation. Inclusive environments are typically more adept at fostering these situations.

However, the literature identifies several systemic obstacles that persistently hinder the efficient implementation of DEI initiatives. These encompass implicit prejudices, inadequate leadership readiness, insufficient data about employee experiences, and the lack of inclusion indicators linked to corporate performance. Such challenges are frequently exacerbated when DEI is restricted to human resources operations instead of being adopted at an organizational level with senior leadership guiding the initiative.

The findings depict a sector undergoing transformation. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) is transitioning from the periphery to the core, although discrepancies in methodology, profundity, and genuineness persist. Although some IT companies in India have made commendable progress in policy formulation and awareness enhancement, research indicates a necessity for enhanced structural alignment, intersectional responsiveness, and ongoing learning frameworks.

The ongoing discussion on DEI in the Indian IT sector indicates a transition towards more pragmatic and accountable inclusion measures. Fully achieving these potential needs leadership that is both dedicated and introspective, personnel who are involved and empowered, and processes that are transparent and equitable. The assessment delineates current realities while also indicating future potential for creating workplaces that are more inclusive, resilient, and responsive to India's intricate social fabric.

Table 2: Thematic Summary of Reviewed Literature (N = 51 Studies)

Theme	No. of Articles	Humanized Insights
Inclusive Leadership	12	Leaders who lead with empathy and fairness foster environments where trust and openness can thrive. They set the tone for inclusion through everyday behavior.
Intersectionality	8	Recognizing layered identities, such as caste, gender, and region, reveals more profound inequities and helps tailor more responsive and fair DEI practices.
Psychological Safety	9	When employees feel safe to speak up and share their thoughts, innovation and team learning flourish, making this a cornerstone of inclusive workplaces.
Tokenism and Barriers	6	DEI initiatives without structure or accountability often become symbolic. A lack of depth and intention can stall progress and even erode trust.

Theme	No. of Articles	Humanized Insights
Strategic Integration DEI	10	Embedding DEI in the organization's core strategy, not just in HR, enhances talent retention, innovation, and long-term resilience.
Engagement and Belonging	6	A sense of emotional belonging boosts motivation and commitment. Inclusive practices that affirm identity strengthen engagement and reduce attrition.

This table categorizes the reviewed articles into six major themes emerging from the DEI literature in the Indian IT sector. It includes the number of studies addressing each theme and summarizes key insights relevant to engagement, retention, and performance.

Making DEI Work: Practical Approaches for Indian IT Firms

Translating diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) values into daily business practice remains one of the most pressing challenges for Indian IT companies. While many firms have adopted the language of inclusion, genuine transformation requires more than well-written policies—it demands thoughtful leadership, culturally informed strategies, and a commitment to structural change. Drawing from empirical research and on-the-ground practices, this section outlines a set of practical, grounded strategies that Indian IT organizations can adopt to foster truly inclusive workplaces.

To begin with, inclusive leadership must be cultivated deliberately. This means training managers and executives to identify their own blind spots, especially around unconscious bias, and to lead teams where everyone feels heard and respected. In India, where social stratification based on caste, region, and gender often remains implicit, such training must reflect local realities rather than simply borrow from Western templates. One effective approach is to introduce reverse mentoring, where junior employees from underrepresented groups mentor senior leaders. This not only builds empathy at the top but also ensures that the perspectives of marginalized voices inform decision-making.

At an organizational level, embedding DEI into structures and systems is key. Policies alone are not enough if they aren't matched by mechanisms that hold leaders accountable. For example, Indian IT companies can establish Employee Resource Groups (ERGs) for women, LGBTQ+ individuals, persons with disabilities, and caste minorities, with clear mandates, executive sponsors, and budgetary support. When supported meaningfully, these groups act as important sounding boards for leadership and contribute to a more responsive and representative workplace culture.

To make DEI more than a checkbox, firms need to adopt a data-informed approach. This includes collecting and analyzing data disaggregated by gender, caste, region, and disability status across hiring, promotions, attrition, and compensation. But numbers alone don't tell the full story—qualitative feedback from employees, gathered through surveys and open forums, is equally essential in understanding whether inclusion efforts are actually being felt on the ground. Perhaps most importantly, companies must understand and

address intersectionality—the fact that people's experiences are shaped by the overlapping effects of multiple identities. In practice, this could mean designing learning modules that help employees grasp how a Dalit woman's experience in the workplace might differ from that of an upper-caste woman or how regional and language biases influence hiring and team dynamics. Training on these nuances can prevent tokenism and help leaders make more informed, empathetic decisions.

Finally, everyday practices matter. Whether it's anonymizing resumes during hiring, ensuring diverse interview panels, providing remote work flexibility, or creating inclusive spaces like prayer and lactation rooms, these seemingly small actions signal that inclusion is taken seriously. Over time, they help build a culture where all employees, not just the most visible or vocal, feel like they belong.

In sum, what makes DEI work is not just intent but integration. When leadership, systems, and culture align around inclusive values, DEI moves from aspiration to reality. Indian IT companies that take this seriously are not just ticking boxes—they are investing in long-term trust, innovation, and human potential.

Future Directions

Despite global aspirations, Indian IT companies face unique challenges in embedding DEI into practice. These include hierarchical organizational cultures, caste and regional biases, limited female representation in leadership, and a lag in LGBTQ+ inclusion policies. The absence of intersectional frameworks further restricts the efficacy of inclusion efforts. While DEI is increasingly featured in HR charters, it often lacks deep integration into governance or business strategy.

Moreover, many Indian IT firms implement standardized global DEI frameworks without local adaptation. This approach fails to capture India's socio-cultural intricacies, such as language diversity, regional disparities, and socio-economic stratification. Bridging this gap demands context-aware inclusion policies that go beyond Western-centric templates.

Additionally, the digital transformation landscape offers both risks and opportunities. AI-powered hiring platforms and remote work models, if not inclusively designed, may entrench biases. Conversely, they also allow for increased flexibility, access for disabled professionals, and cross-regional collaboration.

To effectively bridge these gaps, Indian IT companies must:

- a) Localize DEI frameworks to reflect socio-cultural diversity.
- b) Address systemic exclusion beyond gender (e.g., caste, class, and language).
- c) Build inclusive leadership pipelines and feedback channels.
- d) Evaluate DEI outcomes through disaggregated data and qualitative feedback

REFERENCES

1. Alam, M. and Shin, D. (2020). A moderated mediation model of employee experienced diversity management: openness to experience, perceived visible diversity discrimination and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Manpower*, 42(5), 733-755. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijm-06-2019-0286>
2. Alzyoud, A., Ahmed, U., AlZgool, M., & Pahi, M. (2019). Leaders' emotional intelligence and employee retention: mediation of job satisfaction in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of* <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n3p1> Financial Research, 10(3), 1.
3. Aman-Ullah, A., Aziz, A., Ibrahim, H., Mehmood, W., & Aman-Ullah, A. (2022). The role of compensation in shaping employee's behaviour: a mediation study through job satisfaction during the covid-19 pandemic. *Revista De Gestão*, 30(2), 221-236. <https://doi.org/10.1108/rege-04-2021-006>
4. Aman-Ullah, A., Ibrahim, H., Aziz, A., & Mehmood, W. (2022). Balancing is a necessity, not leisure: a study on work-life balance witnessing the healthcare sector of Pakistan. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business* <https://doi.org/10.1108/apjba-09-2020-0338> Administration, 16(1), 127-147.
5. Ashikali, T. and Groeneveld, S. (2013). Diversity management in public organizations and its effect on employees' affective commitment. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 35(2), 146-168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x13511088>
6. Barak, M., Luria, G., & Brimhall, K. (2021). What leaders say versus what they do: inclusive leadership, policy-practice decoupling, and the anomaly of climate for inclusion. *Group & Organization Management*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/10596011211005916> 47(4), 840-871.
7. Barak, M., Luria, G., & Brimhall, K. (2021). What leaders say versus what they do: inclusive leadership, policy-practice decoupling, and the anomaly of climate for inclusion. *Group & Organization Management*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/10596011211005916> 47(4), 840-871.
8. Bezrukova, K., Jehn, K., & Spell, C. (2012). Reviewing diversity training: where we have been and where we should go. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 11(2), 207-227. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2008.0090>
9. Bharadwaj, S., Khan, N., & Yameen, M. (2021). Unbundling employer branding, job satisfaction, organizational identification and employee retention: a sequential mediation analysis. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 14(3), 309-334. <https://doi.org/10.1108/apjba-08-2020-0279>
10. Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.
11. Boyce, A., Tovey, T., Onwuka, O., Moller, J., Clark, T., & Smith, A. (2022). Exploring nsf-funded evaluators' and principal investigators' definitions and measurement of diversity, equity, and inclusion. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 44(1), 50-73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10982140221108662>
12. Carter, D., D'Souza, F., Simkins, B., & Simpson, W. (2010). The gender and ethnic diversity of us boards and board committees and firm financial performance. *Corporate Governance an International Review*, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2010.00809.x> 18(5), 396-414.
13. Chaudhry, I., Paquibut, R., & Tunio, M. (2021). Do workforce diversity, inclusion practices, & organizational characteristics contribute to organizational innovation? evidence from the u.a.e. *Cogent Business* <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1947549> & Management, 8(1).
14. Chaudhry, S. (2022). Measuring diversity, equity and inclusion: a holistic approach. *Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal*.
15. Choudhary, H., & Pandita, D. (2023). A decision model for the adoption of artificial intelligence in fostering DEI. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/a-decision-model-for-the-adoption-of-artificial-intelligence-29t7cjzrbn>
16. Chordiya, R. (2018). Are federal child care programs sufficient for employee retention? critical examination from a gendered perspective. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(3), 338-352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074018804662>
17. Chordiya, R. (2018). Are federal child care programs sufficient for employee retention? critical examination from a gendered perspective. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(3), 338-352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074018804662>
18. Chaudhary, R., & Rangnekar, S. (2017). Employees' belief in others and work engagement: Moderating role of employees' own belief in self. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 25(1), 160–183.
19. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
20. Dash, M., & Muthyala, A. (2016). Employee retention and engagement in Indian IT companies. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/employee-retention-and-engagement-in-indian-it-companies-51i0socr7l>
21. Dahleez, K., Aboramadan, M., & Fattah, F. (2023). Inclusive leadership and job satisfaction in omani

- higher education: the mediation of psychological ownership and employee thriving. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 37(4), 907-925. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijem-07-2022-0274>
22. Downey, S., Werff, L., Thomas, K., & Plaut, V. (2014). The role of diversity practices and inclusion in promoting trust and employee engagement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 45(1), 35-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12273>
23. Downey, S., Werff, L., Thomas, K., & Plaut, V. (2014). The role of diversity practices and inclusion in promoting trust and employee engagement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 45(1), 35-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12273>
24. El-Amin, A. (2022). Improving Organizational Commitment to Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Belonging. *Social Justice Research Methods for Doctoral Research*
24. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383.
25. Feitosa, J., Hagenbuch, S., Patel, B., & Davis, A. (2022). Performing in diverse settings: a diversity, equity, and inclusion approach to culture. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 22(3), 433-457. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14705958221136707>
26. Ferdman, B. (2013). Toward enhancing industrial and organizational psychology's contributions to diversity and inclusion practice. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 6(3), 237-242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iops.12041>
27. Ferdman, B. M. (2017). *Diversity at work: The practice of inclusion*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
28. Gomez, L. E., & Bernet, P. (2019). Diversity improves performance and outcomes. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 111(4), 383–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2019.01.006>
29. Gupta, V., & Aggarwal, A. (2021). Organizational diversity and firm performance: A literature review. *IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review*, 10(1), 45–59.
30. Hupont, I., Falco, A., & Redondo, T. (2023). Diversity washing: Exploring the risks of superficial inclusion practices. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 185(2), 215–231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05248-9>
31. Gotsis, G. and Grimani, A. (2016). The role of servant leadership in fostering inclusive organizations. *The Journal of Management Development*, 35(8), 985-1010. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmd-07-2015-0095>
32. Gould, R., Harris, S., Mullin, C., & Jones, R. (2020). Disability, diversity, and corporate social responsibility: learning from recognized leaders in inclusion. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 52(1), 29-42. <https://doi.org/10.3233/jvr-191058>
33. Gould, R., Mullin, C., Harris, S., & Jones, R. (2021). Building, sustaining and growing: disability inclusion in business. *Equality Diversity and Inclusion an International Journal*, 41(3), 418-434. <https://doi.org/10.1108/edi-06-2020-0156>
34. HANIF, M., Athar, M., Rehman, Z., Anwar, A., & Ali, M. (2023). Diversity management and organizational performance in pakistan. *Reviews of Management Sciences*, 4(2), 95-116. <https://doi.org/10.53909/rms.04.02.0203>
35. Hoang, T., Suh, J., & Sabharwal, M. (2022). Beyond a numbers game? impact of diversity and inclusion on the perception of organizational justice. *Public Administration Review*, 82(3), 537-555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13463>
36. Holmes, M. (2023). Inclusion in public-sector workplaces: charting a path for theory and practice. *Public Personnel Management*, 52(4), 491-497. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00910260231191273>
37. Jaiswal, A. and Dyaram, L. (2019). Perceived diversity and employee well-being: mediating role inclusion. *Personnel Review*, 49(5), 1121-1139. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-12-2018-0511>
38. Jansen, W., Otten, S., & Zee, K. (2015). Being part of diversity: the effects of an all-inclusive multicultural diversity approach on majority members' perceived inclusion and support for organizational diversity efforts. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 18(6), 817-832. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430214566892>
39. Jha, I. N., Khare, S., & Acharya, S. (2023). Embracing diversity and igniting excellence: Unveiling the transformational potential of inclusive practices in India's IT industry. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/embracing-diversity-and-igniting-excellence-unveiling-the-3c93xau76f>
40. Jirincova, M. (2013). Potential future managers and their opinion on the issue of diversity, inclusion and their possible use in management. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 5(2), 37-50. <https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2013.02.03>
41. Kaymakç, E., & Babacan, M. (2014). The impact of organizational culture on employee motivation. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4(9), 155–166.
42. Kapoor, C. (2011). Defining diversity: the evolution of diversity. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 3(4), 284-293. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17554211111162408>
43. Khan, K. and Jabeen, S. (2019). Investigating the impact of workplace diversity on organizational citizenship behavior: the mediating role of inclusion. *Journal of Management and Research*, 6(2), 18-50. <https://doi.org/10.29145/jmr/62/060202>
44. Karmarkar, G. M. (2024). DEIA: On paper or in practice? A study on the impact of diversity, equity, inclusion, and accessibility in Indian IT workplaces. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/deia-on-paper-or-in-practice-a-study-on-the-impact-of-1epqg7cg0gv8>
45. Kumar, H., & Pandita, D. (2022). Mediating role of employee engagement on the effect of inclusion and organizational diversity on turnover intention. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/mediating-role-of-employee-engagement-on-the-effect-of-x21zjo1o>

46. Leijen, Ä., Arcidiacono, F., & Baucal, A. (2021). The dilemma of inclusive education: inclusion for some or inclusion for all. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.633066> all. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12.
47. Li, W., Wang, X., Haque, J., Shafique, M., & Nawaz, M. (2020). Impact of workforce diversity management on employees' outcomes: testing the mediating role of a person's job match. *Sage Open*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020903402> 10(1), 215824402090340.
48. Li, Y., Perera, S., Kulik, C., & Metz, I. (2019). Inclusion climate: a multilevel investigation of its antecedents and consequences. *Human Resource Management*, 58(4), 353-369. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21956>
49. Lightfoote, J., Fielding, J., Deville, C., Gunderman, R., Morgan, G., Pandharipande, P., ... & Macura, K. (2014). Improving diversity, inclusion, and representation in radiology and radiation oncology part 1: why these matter. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, 11(7), 673-680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2014.03.007>
50. Liu, J., Zhu, Y., & Wang, H. (2023). Managing the negative impact of workforce diversity: the important roles of inclusive hrn and employee learning-oriented behaviors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1117690>
51. Madera, J., Dawson, M., & Guchait, P. (2016). Psychological diversity climate: justice, racioethnic minority status and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(11), 2514-2532. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-06-2015-0304>
52. Martins, L. (2020). Strategic diversity leadership: the role of senior leaders in delivering the diversity dividend. *Journal of Management*, 46(7), 1191-1204. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320939641>
53. Mor Barak, M. E. (2014). *Managing diversity: Toward a globally inclusive workplace* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
54. Nivet, M. (2015). A diversity 3.0 update. *Academic Medicine*, 90(12), 1591-1593. <https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.0000000000000950>
55. Ohunakin, F., Adeniji, A., Ogunnaiké, O., Igbadume, F., & Akintayo, D. (2019). The effects of diversity management and inclusion on organizational outcomes: a case of multinational corporation. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp> *Verslas Theory Going Practice*, 20, 93-102.
56. Panicker, A. and Khandelwal, U. (2018). Inclusive workplace and organizational citizenship behavior. *Equality Diversity and Inclusion an International Journal*, 37(6), 530-550. <https://doi.org/10.1108/edi-03-2017-0054>
57. Pink-Harper, S., Davis, R., & Burnside, R. (2016). "justice for all": an examination of self-identified lgbt job satisfaction in the us federal workforce. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences / Revue Canadienne Des Sciences De L Administration*, 34(2), 182-197. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjas.1420>
58. Pirchio, S., Arcidiacono, F., & Passiatore, Y. (2022). Editorial: inclusive schools for a diverse world: psychological and educational factors and practices harming or promoting inclusion at school. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1049129>
59. Pitts, D. (2009). Diversity management, job satisfaction, and performance: evidence from u.s. federal agencies. *Public Administration Review*, 69(2), 328-338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2008.01977.x>
60. Raj, R. (2023). Intersectionality in Indian workplaces: A review of caste, gender, and belonging. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 58(3), 512–529.
61. Ross, F., Wells, J.T., & Clarke, A.T. (2014). A Pipeline for Diversity: Initiative Spearheads Profession Wide Effort to Attract Underrepresented Minorities to Accounting. *Journal of accountancy*, 218, 48.
62. Rubel, M., Kee, D., & Rimi, N. (2023). Quality of work life and employee work outcomes: a hierarchical model with mediation analysis. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 24(1), 421-439. <https://doi.org/10.33736/ijbs.5625.2023>
63. Sabharwal, M. (2014). Is diversity management sufficient? organizational inclusion to further performance. *Public Personnel Management*, 43(2), 197-217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091026014522202>
64. Schmidt, S., Githens, R., Rocco, T., & Kormanik, M. (2012). Lesbians, gays, bisexuals, and transgendered people and human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review*, 11(3), 326-348. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484312447193>
65. Shore, L., Randel, A., Chung, B., Dean, M., Ehrhart, K., & Singh, G. (2010). Inclusion and diversity in work groups: a review and model for future research. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 1262-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385943>
66. Shore, L., Randel, A., Chung, B., Dean, M., Ehrhart, K., & Singh, G. (2010). Inclusion and diversity in work groups: a review and model for future research. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 1262-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385943>
67. Shore, L., Randel, A., Chung, B., Dean, M., Ehrhart, K., & Singh, G. (2010). Inclusion and diversity in work groups: a review and model for future research. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 1262-1289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385943>
68. Sharma, A., & Sharma, R. (2021). Building inclusive workplaces: Role of human capital policies in Indian IT firms. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, 10(1), 23–40.
69. Shuck, B., Rocco, T. S., & Albornoz, C. A. (2014). Exploring employee engagement from the employee perspective: Implications for HRD. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 38(4), 300–322.
70. Sathyanarayana, S., Thomas, A., & Iyer, M. (2024). Building bridges of inclusion: Trust, job engagement, match, and commitment. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/building-bridges->

of-inclusion-trust-job-engagement-match-and-2ncza7xcl4

71. Sofat, R. K., Bhanawat, R., & Sharma, N. (2015). Organizational change and organizational commitment: An empirical study. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/organizational-change-and-organizational-commitment-an-3zhu11doaq>
72. Sreesujatha, M. (2025). Study on fostering a cultural shift among IT employees through diversity. Retrieved from <https://scispace.com/papers/study-on-fostering-a-cultural-shift-among-its-employees-4alik0oixplz>
73. Wagner, M.S., & Boyle, M.E. (2022). Defining employee pro-environmental, social and governance behaviors. *The Business and Management Review*
74. Warren, A., & Warren, D. (2023). Navigating diversity fatigue: The psychological toll of tokenism in tech workplaces. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 13(1), 112–130.