

Research Article

Impact Of Workplace Incivility on Counterproductive Work Behaviour

¹Ms.R. Saranya *, and ²Dr.V. Gowtham Raaj

¹Doctoral Research Scholar, G.R.Damodaran Academy of Management, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641062

²Assistant Professor, G.R.Damodaran Academy of Management, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India-641062,

Received: 28/03/2025;

Revision: 20/04/2025;

Accepted: 08/05/2025;

Published: 31/05/2025

*Corresponding author: Ms. R. Saranya (Saranya.mba20@gmail.com)

Abstract: Human capital plays a major substantial part by virtue of every organization. The personnel selection and training of employees is a vital task for every organization to achieve its goal. But still, a lot of employees are in the thought of quitting their job either for their personal reasons or through workplace outcomes. Workplace incivility is a behaviour exhibited that was formed by social norms by lining up to reach out aptly by others. These yardsticks upset everybody and are versed over the socialization trail. If a person shows negative behaviour it will reflect negatively on the other's outcome as well. To understand the underlying concept, the researcher has studied the brunt appertaining to workplace incivility against counterproductive work behaviour. Scrutiny outstayed among the employees of the IT sector. Data were collected by using the Snowball sampling method by virtue of a framed questionnaire. A sample of 391 appellants was well-chosen for the present scrutiny. Facts compiled are probed in virtue of analytical tools, and the residuum of the scrutiny unfolds so as to depicts the substantial impact of workplace incivility on counterproductive work behaviour (CWB) among IT employees

Keywords: Workplace Incivility, Counterproductive work behaviour, social exchange behaviour, social norms.

INTRODUCTION

Human capital plays a major substantial part by virtue of every organization. The personnel selection and training of an employee is an essential task for every organization to achieve its goal. The process an employee goes through to deliver the expected outcome is through training, for which the organization spends money so as to assure by the way of viable resource which is utilized in terms of the talents that could be made available. But still, an abundance of employee arise over a thought of quitting their job either for their personal reasons or through workplace outcomes. Pearson and Porath noted from their article that negative consequences which occurs in the workplace affect nearly one – eighth of the workforce and it cost 50,000 dollars to companies for restraining of new workforces (Pearson *et al.*, 2005).

Counterproductive behaviour includes a series of undesirable activities in the workplace like malingering of employee, stealing employer materials and behaving aggressively at the workplace. Certain undesirable activities which occurs in the workplace like involving the members in strike, showing their discontent about their work and making attempt to resolve injustice towards their concern which are done intentional by the employees to spoil their organizations or its workforce. (Kelloway, 2010). Counter productive behaviour can negatively impact the company and employees, and they have both organizational and interpersonal aspects.

Workplace incivility, pictured by way of unease, ambiguous behaviours that violate social pattern, has significant negative effects on both individuals and

organizations (Gill, 2015). It bring about anxiety, depression, and reduced self-esteem in individuals, while also hindering collaboration with constructiveness inside the workplace. Recognizing and addressing this behaviour is crucial, with organizations implementing zero-tolerance policies and educational sessions to combat it (Kisner, 2018). The impact of incivility on workplace relationships and the time spent by managers in resolving conflicts among employees is also highlighted (Fritz, 2009). Further groundwork is needed to delve into the dimensions, antecedents, coupled with outcomes ascribed to workplace incivility.

Pearson, Andersson, & Wegner 2001; has done various research on violations inside every workstation like workplace coarseness shows in that it carries a vast undesirable issue on workplace outcomes i.e., job dissatisfaction, job withdrawal, deviant behaviour, and job burnout, etc.

A few researches parade a certain 78 percent staff member which in particular face workplace incivility issues agonize low fecundity through deviant behaviour and 12% staff member sign away their ongoing work (Johnson & Indvik, 2001). It is also claimed that a particular discourteousness rein to bring out a parallel retort among disparate parties or provoke severe behaviours. It can give raise towards an intensifying ringlet, even as single deed of coarseness can incite further solemn turns upon the portion towards further faction. Aforesaid circumstances determine the intense thing of counterproductive work behaviours, that can engender antagonism or assault.

Since the term was coined by Cortina *et al.*, workplace incivility is most often interchanged with the term uncivil work behaviour, it has been a centre of attention for researchers who look over its negative impacts on the organization (Cortina *et al.*, 2001). However, meager thought has been paid to its effects on behavioural outcomes (e.g., counterproductive work behaviours) (Instone, 2012). The impingement of CWBs is widely spread in different forms in every sector or any association around India, despite many tools to check being in vogue, hence, analysis of the developmental research on counterbalancing the CWBs is necessary for every Department of Manpower in the domain.

Analyses leaning on CWB are quiet scanty. Furthermore, prevailing reports are also absorbed on an personage and administrative element as forecasters of CWB. Therefore this scrutiny was enhanced by reconnoitring the impingement of job-oriented variables over employees CWB at the workstation. The foremost purpose for reconnoitring a few impingement about job-related variables on CWB is despite the work-related variable, explicitly workplace incivility, can undergo demotivation task for workforces. In accumulation, incivility in the workplace creates a set of variables that are broadly assumed to be substantial source of employee behaviour. As such, this analysis reconnoitring the aftermath on incivility over CWB between workforces in the IT segment.

As a result, there exists incivility barriers hindering the progress of staff members in the IT segment. The personnel of service firms, including banks, hotels, and academic institutions, were the focus of earlier studies. Therefore the present study was considered to focus on IT segment and proper recommendations are to be provided for enhancing the employee to perform better among the workplace. The objective of the present study was to examine the impact of workplace incivility upon counterproductive work behaviour.

WORKPLACE INCIVILITY

Workspace incivility is an emergent occurrence in the current scenario which has a huge negative impact on employees and organizations. Matthew J. Gill (2015) has studied that Incivility at the individual level can increase anxiety, depression, decreased self-esteem, and quitting intention. At the organizational level, it affects the employee's interpersonal communication which reduces the employee's ability to work as a team to achieve organizational goals. Janie M. Harden Fritz (2009) stated over the scrutiny that discourteousness is a low-intensity aberrant behaviour that break up the norms in the workspace for mutual respect. The ensue determined from the scrutiny has explained about the faces of incivility and its effect to the employees, moreover it helps in identifying the habitual initiator. Further, it also provides solution to the employees to overcome the incivility at the earliest.

Abolfazl Vagharseyyedin, has made a conceptual study by using Walker and Avant conceptual method analysis by considering 50 research papers (Abolfazl Vagharseyyedin, 2015). It was understood that obscure alert, defilement of reciprocal recognition, low-slung luminance,

and dearth on impugment be pinpointed in the act of essential features appertaining to workspace incivility. Patel & Chrisman have explored a gap allying incivility in the workspace and academic circles by adopting the Rodgers' evolutionary method (Patel & Chrisman, 2020). The research finding puts about that reams of scrutiny have focused on Staff nurses and academic faculty incivility. The consequences of incivility are physiological and psychosocial distress.

Cortina *et al.*, conducted a study among public-sector workers (Cortina *et al.*, 2001). Facts was composed with 1,180 out of it 71% workforces claimed as they are facing some forms of work place incivility in their working environment facing outmost 5 years. As many as one-third in view of the utmost persuasive entities inside the association initiated those discourteous things. In addition, it was found that both genders have faced equal number of undesirable outcomes within requisites about their job besides women endured a greater amount of incivility than male. Discourteous workplace involvements come about by extensive psychological agony; though, signs ahead of emotional and physical well-being were comparatively unaltered. The sequel towards the scrutiny was granted by means of the investigator grounded on the cognitive stress theories and organizational context (Abid *et al.*, 2015). The causes and consequences of uncivil activeness are premeditated within a survey across 114 respondents from different service sectors that about every single individual is a victim of several embodiment appertaining to discourteousness in the workspace.

COUNTERPRODUCTIVE WORK BEHAVIOUR

Tiarapuspa *et al.*, have scrutinized the counterproductive work behaviour based on the perception of supervisors and subordinates in Indonesia (Tirapuspa *et al.*, 2018). It is identified from the study the person who are working as a manager in Indonesia are held responsible for the development of counterproductive behaviour among their subordinates which affects the working environment and disturb the entire organizational setup. It is noted in an article by Karin Instone that nearly 30% of business ventures decline because of the effects of counterproductive behaviour and as result 89% of the employees are exhibiting a numerous undesirable behaviour at their workplace.

Mustafa Akber Jafri (2020) in their article they surveyed the effect of workplace incivility and organizational injustice on counterproductive work behavior. The researcher had chosen the supervision level staff from sugar industry in the District of Shaheed Benazirabad (Nawabshah). Structured questionnaire was prepared and data were accumulated from 154 participants through cluster sampling method. The data are analysed through various statistical tools like factor analysis, reliability and regression analysis. It is inferred from the findings of the study that there is a positive connotation between workplace incivility, organizational injustice and counterproductive work behavior in concerned sugar industries located in Shaheed Benazirabad (Nawabshah).

Iqra Zafeer, Saba Mushtaq (2022) author has focused to examine the effect of workplace incivility on counterproductive work behaviour in the existence of psychological capital as moderator and emotional dissonance as mediator. Data are collected from two hundred and fifty-nine private employees of services sectors such as banking, telecom and educational institutions. The results of this study described a positive relationship between incivility with emotional dissonance and counterproductive work behaviour and negatively related with psychological capital, moreover study indicates psychological capital is essential to abstain from the negative reaction of incivility to overcome stress. Displeased employees are further likely to involve in more deviant behaviours (Kulas et al., 2007); Though there might be various reasons for this deviant behaviour insulting supervision is expected to sway employees' inclination to involve in counterproductive work behaviour which envisioned neither to outrage the abuser but also to generate damage over the formation, and workspace aggravation turn out to be possibly connected to vandalism, manipulative behaviour, antagonism, together with criticism. Researches have further descried the communication bounded by particular components and structural aggravation and CWB. For instance, operatives emotional state, mirrored with upmost of undesirable frame of mind, made uncovered as a meanest fractional intermediaries within workspace aggravation including counterproductive work behaviour. Negative conviction

turned out to be concentrated as an arbitrator of the affiliation bounded by essences like workspace discourteousness, social disagreement, structural restraints, and operatives misconduct .

Hypotheses

H1: There is a substantial influence of workplace incivility on counterproductive work behaviour.

Research Methodology

The Snowball exemplification technique was handed-down to examine the impact of workplace incivility on counterproductive behaviour and to bring together facts out of the responder by way of a systematized survey form. Hypothesis testing were involved inorder to examine the association among the set forth variables. To gather data from respondents, a structured questionnaire with a 5-point rating system was created based on scales used by earlier researchers. Demographics and measuring scales were the two sections of the research instrument. A sample of 391 respondents from the IT sector was contemplated with the present study. We measured the counterproductive work behaviour by using the scale adopted by Madhumathi & Venkatapathy (2017) and the workplace incivility scale adopted by Gupta, Aditi & Patiraj Kumari (2023). The reliableness of the survey form was CWB $\alpha = 0.860$ and the workplace incivility scale was $\alpha = 0.856$.

RESULTS

H1: There is a substantial influence towards workplace incivility on counterproductive work behaviour. The directly above table shows regression data using multiple R, R square, and modified R square. The multiple R is a correlation connecting the regressors and regressand variable which have a value of 0.90 i.e. 90% of the connotation exists among workplace incivility and counterproductive work behaviour. The R squares show the degree of impingement of a regressors variable upon the regressand variable that carries a value of 0.82 i.e. 82%. Workplace incivility influences 82% of CWB (Table 1).

Table 1: Regression Statistics

<i>Regression Statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0.90
R Square	0.82
Adjusted R Square	0.81
Standard Error	0.88
Observations	391

The significant value of ANOVA should be less than 0.05. The above table shows the statistical significance of regression models that run. Here the value is 0.00 which is lower than 0.05. The above table specifies that the regression representation envisages the dependent substantially well (Table 2).

Table 2: ANOVA analysis

ANOVA					
	Df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	4.0	1325.0	331.2	430.52	0.00
Residual	386.0	297.0	0.8		
Total	390.0	1622.0			

The Table 2 shows the significant value to the sub-factors of workplace incivility forecasting counterproductive work behaviour. Hostility, Privacy invasion, Exclusionary behaviour, and gossiping have a substantial value of 0.00 which is less than 0.05. Hence the hypothesis is verified which outlays a significant influence among workplace incivility and counterproductive work

behaviour.

Table 3: Sub-factors of workplace incivility forecasting counterproductive work behaviour

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>
Intercept	16.60	2.41	6.89	0.00
Hostility	1.32	0.08	16.53	0.00
Privacy invasion	1.09	0.07	15.09	0.00
Exclusionary behaviour	1.24	0.05	26.82	0.00
Gossiping	-0.36	0.04	-8.52	0.00

DISCUSSION

Several theoretical frameworks illuminate the influence of workspace incivility on CWB. Social exchange model suggests that employees reciprocate negative behaviours they experience. When subjected to incivility, employees might retaliate through CWB as a system ahead of psychological defence or balancing the social exchange equivalence. Workplace incivility is explained as disrespectful behaviour which exhibits in organization and result in spoiling the working environment adversely. On another hand, counterproductive work behaviour (CWB) encompasses actions that harm organizations and their stakeholders. The link between workspace incivility and CWB is multifaceted and warrants a comprehensive examination. Numerous studies have confirmed a substantial correlation between workspace incivility and CWB. For illustration, Pearson *et al.*, uncovered that staffs exposed to uncivil behaviours were likely prior to engross by means of theft, sabotage, and other CWBsv (Pearson *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, a meta-representational analysis by the way of Hershcovis *et al.*, highlighted robust relationship amidst experienced incivility and so forth various forms of CWB, including absenteeism and reduced organizational commitment (Hershcovis *et al.*, 2007). Continuous revelation to coarseness will ensue in prostration, prompting employees to engross in CWB as an escape mechanism (Grandey, 2003). An inconsistency between perceived organizational norms and experienced incivility can create cognitive dissonance, motivating employees to exhibit CWB to reconcile this incongruence (Festinger, 1957). Organizations should prioritize fostering a respectful workplace culture through training, policies, and leadership modelling (Porath, 2010). Establishing explicit guidelines and consequences for workspace incivility and CWB can deter negative behaviours and provide a framework for addressing them effectively. Creating avenues for employees to voice concerns and grievances can mitigate the occurrence of incivility and address underlying issues proactively (Morrison, 2011). Offering resources such as counselling, conflict resolution mechanisms, and grapple with stress series can help employees cope with and navigate incivility constructively (Spector & Fox, 2005).

CONCLUSION

The association bounded by workplace incivility and CWB underscores a critical need on grounds of organizations to address and mitigate uncivil behaviours. By understanding the underlying mechanisms and implementing targeted interventions, organizations can cultivate a more positive

and productive work environment conducive to organizational success. Some useful ramifications for the Information technology sector people and management are suggested by this study. It is necessary to identify policies to prevent bad workplace behaviors and strategies to foster a positive work environment. Managers and top executives should work to establish clear procedures and rules, provide training on incivility awareness, and develop a communication and response system to reduce the repercussions of WI among staff members in order to better comprehend the problem and its prevalence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We concede the respondents who have considered our supplication by providing data for the conduct of the research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest related to this publication.

REFERENCES

1. Abid, G., Khan, B., Rafiq, Z., & Ahmed, A. (2015). Workplace incivility: Uncivil activities, antecedents, consequences, and level of incivility. *Science International*, 27(6), 6307-6312.
2. Abolfazl Vagharseyyedin, S. (2015). Workplace incivility: A concept analysis. *Contemporary Nurse*, 50, 115–125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10376178.2015.1017243>
3. Cortina, L. M., Magley, V. J., Williams, J. H., & Langhout, R. D. (2001). Incivility in the workplace: Incidence and impact. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 6(1), 64–80.
4. Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
5. Fritz, Janie. (2009). Rudeness and Incivility in the Workplace. 10.1057/9780230248359_9.
6. Grandey, A. A. (2003). When "the show must go on": Surface acting and deep acting as determinants of emotional exhaustion and peer-rated service delivery. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(1), 86–96.
7. Gill, M.J. (2015). Incivility in the Workplace. In *The International Encyclopedia of Interpersonal Communication* (eds C.R. Berger, M.E. Roloff, S.R. Wilson, J.P. Dillard, J. Caughlin and D. Solomon). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118540190.wbeic237>
8. Gupta, A., & Kumari, P. Incivility: A Menace to Workplace. Haryana School of Business, 217.

9. Hershcovis, M. Sandy, Nick Turner, Julian Barling, Kara A. Arnold, Kathryne E. Dupré, Michelle Inness, Manon Mireille LeBlanc, and Niro Sivanathan. (2007). Predicting workplace aggression: a meta-analysis. *Journal of applied Psychology*, 92(1), 228.
10. Instone, K. (2012). Counterproductive Work Behavior. White paper. Diunduh tanggal, 8.
11. [Iqra Zafeer, Saba Mushtaq \(2022\) Influence of Incivility on Counterproductive Work Behaviour at the Workplace: In the occurrence of Emotional Dissonance and psychological capital. Journal of Management Scholarship.https://doi.org/10.38198/JMS/1.2.2022.17.](https://doi.org/10.38198/JMS/1.2.2022.17)
12. Johnson, Pamela & Indvik, Julie. (2001). Slings and arrows of rudeness: Incivility in the workplace. *Journal of Management Development*. 20. 705-714. 10.1108/EUM0000000005829.
13. Kelloway, E.K. and Barling, J. (2010) Leadership Development as an Intervention in Occupational Health Psychology. *Work & Stress*, 24, 260-279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2010.518441>
14. Kisner, Tamela EdD, RN, CNE. June 2018. Workplace incivility: How do you address it?. *Nursing* 48(6):p 36-40, | DOI: 10.1097/01.NURSE.0000532746.88129.e9
15. Kulas, J. T., McInnerney, J.E., Demuth, R.F., & Jadwinski, V. (2007). Employee satisfaction and theft: Testing climate perceptions as a mediator. *The Journal of Psychology*, 141, 389-402.
16. Madhumathi, M & Venkatapathy.R (2017). Impact of Narcissism And Employee Obsolescence On Counterproductive Work Behaviour, Doctoral dissertation, *Bharathiar University*, <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/236250>
17. Morrison, E. W. (2011). Employee voice behavior: Integration and directions for future research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 5(1), 373–412.
18. Mustafa Akber Jafri , Muhammad Hafeez , Maeenuddin , Ghayasuddin , Muhammad Hamza Qais (2020) Impact Of Workplace Incivility And Organizational Injustice On Counterproductive Work Behavior , [International Journal of Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity, Vol 11 No 1.](https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v8-i7/4336)
19. Nawaz, R., Zia-ud-Din, M., Nadeem, M. T., & Din, M. ud. (2018). The impact of psychopathy on counterproductive work behavior. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(7). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v8-i7/4336>
20. Patel, S. E., & Chrisman, M. (2020). Incivility through the continuum of nursing: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*, 55(2), 267–274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12425>
21. Pearson, C. M., Andersson, L. M., & Porath, C. L. (2005). Workplace incivility. In *Counterproductive work behavior: Investigations of actors and targets*, 177–200.
22. Pearson, C. M. , Andersson, L. M. , & Wegner, J. W. (2001). When workers flout convention: A study of workplace incivility. *Human Relations*, 5, 1387-1419.
23. Pike, K. A. (2021). Incivility and gender: considering instigator/target gender interactions (Doctoral dissertation, Memorial University of Newfoundland).
24. Pearson, C. (2010). The cost of bad behavior: How incivility is damaging your business and what to do about it. *Human Resource Management International Digest*, 18(6).
25. Rahman, A., Rahim, A., Alwi, S., Mohd, A., & N. (2016). Effects of job characteristics on counterproductive work behavior among production employees: Malaysian experience. *International Journal of Business and Development Studies*, 8(1).
26. Sowe, S., & Arslan, M. (2023). Exploring the impact of workplace incivility on employee counterproductive work behavior through the mediating role of turnover intention: Evidence from The Gambia and Ghana. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 12.
27. Spector, P. E., & Fox, S. (2005). The stressor-emotion model of counterproductive work behavior. In *Counterproductive work behavior: Investigations of actors and targets*, 151–174.
28. Şulea, C., Maricuţoiu, L., Pitariu, H. D., & Dumitru, C. Z. (2010). Predicting counterproductive work behaviors: A meta-analysis of their relationship with individual and situational factors. *Psihologia Resurselor Umane*, 8(1), 66-81.
29. Tiarapuspa, Indyastuti, D. L., & Sari, W. R. (2018). Constructing counterproductive behavior for supporting environmental management system research. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 106(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/106/1/012083>
30. Uche, I. I., George, O., & Abiola, W. (2017). Counterproductive work behaviors: A socio-demographic characteristic-based study among employees in the Nigerian maritime sector. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Economics and Business*, 5(1), 117–138. <https://doi.org/10.1515/auseb-2017-0006>
31. Wang, Y., Luo, W., Zhang, J., & Guo, Y. (2019). More humility, less counterproductive work behaviors? The role of interpersonal justice and trust. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11782-019-0069-7>
32. Zahoor, I., Iqbal Malik, N., & Atta, M. (2019). Relationship between job insecurity, workplace incivility, and counterproductive work behaviors among employees: Role of work-family conflict. *Foundation University Journal of Psychology*, 3(2), 133–162. <https://doi.org/10.33897/fujp3.2531082019>